

### ***Remarks***

Applicants have canceled claims 1 to 20 without prejudice or disclaimer, and added new claims 21 to 35 in order to claim embodiments of the subject matter of the provisionally elected group. New claims 21 to 35 find support throughout the specification as originally filed, and thus no new matter has been added.

Claims 21 to 35 are pending.

### ***The Restriction Requirement***

Pursuant to the Office Action mailed July 14, 2006, the Examiner has required an election under 35 U.S.C. § 121 of one of Groups I to XVIII. The Examiner contends that the inventions of the Groups are distinct, each from the other.

In order to be fully responsive, Applicants provisionally elect, with traverse, the subject matter of Group XV, directed to, *inter alia*, diagnostic processes that analyze the presence of the polypeptide of SEQ ID NO:2, represented by original claim 19 (now canceled), and new claims 21 to 35. Applicants point out that claims 1 to 20 have been canceled without prejudice or disclaimer, and that new claims 21 to 35 are directed to subject matter falling within the ambit of Group XV as cast by the Examiner.

With respect to the Examiner's restriction of groups directed to a nucleic acid sequence, polypeptides encoded thereby, antibodies recognizing such polypeptides, and methods of using the same, Applicants traverse. Even where patentably distinct inventions appear in a single application, restriction remains improper unless the examiner can show that the search and examination of these groups would entail a "serious burden". See M.P.E.P. § 803. In the present situation, although the Examiner has argued that Groups I-XVIII are separately classified or represent divergent subject matter, Applicants nonetheless submit that, with respect to a given gene, a search of the claims of the groups directed to that gene would also provide useful information for the claims of the other groups directed to that gene. For example, in many if not most publications disclosing a protein, the authors also disclose nucleic acids encoding the protein, antibodies to the protein, and methods of making and using the same. Thus, since the searches for proteins, nucleic acids encoding such proteins, antibodies to such proteins, and methods of making and using the same commonly overlap, Applicants respectfully disagree with the Examiner's assertion that the combined search

and examination of such compositions and methods using the same would entail a serious burden, even assuming arguendo that all of the searches were not co-extensive.

Accordingly, in view of M.P.E.P. § 803, claims directed to polypeptides, polynucleotides encoding such polypeptides, antibodies that specifically bind to such polypeptides, and methods of using the same should be searched and examined in the present application. Applicants therefore respectfully request that the restriction requirement under 35 U.S.C. § 121 be reconsidered and withdrawn.

Applicants retain the right to petition from the restriction requirement under 37 C.F.R. § 1.144.

### ***Conclusion***

Entry of the above amendment is respectfully solicited. The Examiner is invited to call the undersigned at the phone number provided below if any further action by Applicants would expedite the examination of this application.

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this paper, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 08-3425. If a fee is required for an additional extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, such an extension is requested and the appropriate fee should also be charged to our Deposit Account.

Dated: November 14, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

/Mark J. Hyman/

Mark J. Hyman

Registration No.: 46,789

HUMAN GENOME SCIENCES, INC.

Intellectual Property Dept.

14200 Shady Grove Road

Rockville, Maryland 20850

(240) 314-1224